
Economics 620, Lecture 2:

Regression Mechanics (Simple Regression)

- Observed variables: $y_i, x_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$
- Hypothesized (model): $Ey_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$ or $y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + (y_i - E y_i)$; renaming we get: $y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i$
- Unobserved: $\alpha, \beta, \varepsilon_i$
- EXAMPLE: ENGEL CURVES
- Utility function: $u(z_1, \dots, z_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \ln z_j$.
- Budget constraint: $m = \sum_{j=1}^k p_j z_j$.
- FOC: $\frac{a_j}{z_j} - \lambda p_j = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k a_j}{m}$$

$$\Rightarrow z_j = \frac{a_j m}{p_j \sum_{\ell=1}^k a_\ell} \Rightarrow z_j p_j = \frac{a_j}{\sum_{\ell=1}^k a_\ell} m$$

Estimation

- We want to estimate: $E(y) = \alpha + \beta x$

Where y is the expenditure on good j and x is income.

According to the model we also have:

$$\beta = a_j / \sum a_\ell, \quad \alpha = 0$$

- We would like to estimate the unknowns from a sample of n observations on y and x .

The Least Squares Method

- The Least Squares criterion to estimate α and β is to choose $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ to minimize the sum of squared vertical distances between $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_i$ and y_i .
- Why do we consider the vertical distances?
- Why do we square?
- Let $S(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a - bx_i)^2$.
- Partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial a} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a - bx_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial b} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(y_i - a - bx_i).$$

Normal Equations

- Normal equations:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - a - bx_i)$$

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(y_i - a - bx_i).$$

- $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are the Least Squares (LS) Estimators

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i = n\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = \hat{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \quad (2)$$

- From (1):

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} \text{ where } \bar{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{n}, \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}$$

- Substituting into (2):

$$\sum x_i y_i = (\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}) \sum x_i + \hat{\beta} \sum x_i^2$$

Normal Equations cont'd.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \sum x_i(y_i - \bar{y}) &= \hat{\beta} (\sum x_i^2 - \bar{x} \sum x_i) \\
 &= \hat{\beta} (\sum x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2) \\
 &= \hat{\beta} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum x_i(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

- Is this a minimum? Note that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial a^2} = 2n; \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial a \partial b} = 2 \sum x_i; \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial b^2} = 2 \sum x_i^2$$

Normal Equations cont'd.

- Is the Hessian p.d.?

- $H = 2 \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum x_i \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$

- YES! Use Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$(\sum x_i z_i)^2 \leq (\sum x_i^2)(\sum z_i^2)$$

- Here:

$$(\sum x_i)^2 \leq (\sum x_i^2) n$$

- Define the residuals as: $e_i = y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}x_i$

- From the normal equations: $\sum e_i = \sum x_i e_i = 0$

Proof of Minimization

- Consider alternative estimators a^* and b^* :

$$\begin{aligned} S(a^*, b^*) &= \sum (y_i - a^* - b^* x_i)^2 \\ &= \sum [(y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta} x_i) + (\hat{\alpha} - a^*) + (\hat{\beta} - b^*) x_i]^2 \\ &= \sum e_i^2 + 2(\hat{\alpha} - a^*) \sum e_i + 2(\hat{\beta} - b^*) \sum x_i e_i \\ &\quad + \sum [(\hat{\alpha} - a^*) + (\hat{\beta} - b^*) x_i]^2 \\ &\geq \sum e_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Properties of Estimators

- LS estimators are unbiased:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha \sum(x_i - \bar{x}) + \beta \sum(x_i - \bar{x})x_i + \sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \beta + \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \Rightarrow E\hat{\beta} = \beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x} = \alpha + (\beta - \hat{\beta})\bar{x} + \bar{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow E\hat{\alpha} = \alpha$$

More Properties

- We cannot get more properties without further assumptions:

- Assume:

$$V(y_i|x_i) = V(\varepsilon_i) = \sigma^2, \quad Cov(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = 0.$$

- Now:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\hat{\beta}) &= E(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2 = E \left[\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sigma^2}{\left(\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

using $E\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = 0$. Thus:

$$V(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

More Properties cont'd.

- Now for $V(\hat{\alpha})$,

$$\hat{\alpha} - \alpha = (\beta - \hat{\beta})\bar{x} + \bar{\varepsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow V(\hat{\alpha}) = V(\hat{\beta})\bar{x}^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow V(\hat{\alpha}) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

This requires $Cov(\hat{\beta}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = 0$. Why?

$$E(\hat{\beta} - \beta)\bar{\varepsilon} = E \left[\left(\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_j \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\sigma^2/n}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} = 0$$

Engel Curve Example cont'd.

- We know: $p_j z_j = \frac{a_j}{\sum a_\ell} m.$

- Is $V(\varepsilon_j) = \sigma^2$ plausible here?

- How about logs:

$$\ln(p_j z_j) = \ln \frac{a_j}{\sum a_\ell} + \ln m?$$

This implies the regression equation

$$y = \alpha + \beta x$$

where y is log expenditure on good j and x is log income.

- What are our expectations about the estimator values?
- Is this better?

Covariance of Estimators

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) &= E[(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)] \\ &= E \left[((\beta - \hat{\beta})\bar{x} + \bar{\varepsilon}) \left(\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right) \right] \\ &= -E \left[\frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]^2 \bar{x} \\ &= \frac{-\sigma^2 \bar{x}}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gauss-Markov Theorem

- The LS estimator is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE).
- Proof:

define $w_i = \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$

so $\hat{\beta} = \sum w_i y_i.$

Consider an alternative linear unbiased estimator:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sum c_i y_i.$$

Write $c_i = w_i + d_i.$

Note:

$$E\tilde{\beta} = \beta \Rightarrow E \sum c_i(\alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i) = \beta$$

$$E \sum c_i(\alpha + \beta x_i + \varepsilon_i) = \alpha \sum c_i + \beta \sum c_i x_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum c_i = 0; \sum c_i x_i = 1$$

Gauss-Markov Theorem proof cont'd.

- Note that

w_i satisfies $\sum w_i = 0$; $\sum w_i x_i = 1$, so $\sum d_i = 0$ and $\sum d_i x_i = 0$.

- so

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tilde{\beta}) &= E(\sum c_i \varepsilon_i)^2 = \sigma^2 \sum c_i^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum (w_i + d_i)^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 [\sum d_i^2 + 2 \sum w_i d_i + \sum w_i^2] \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\tilde{\beta}) - V(\hat{\beta}) &= \sigma^2 [\sum d_i^2 + 2 \sum w_i d_i] \\ &= \sigma^2 \sum d_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

- WHY?
- This is minimized when the estimators are identical!
- A similar argument applies for $\hat{\alpha}$ and any linear combination of $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$.

Estimation of Variance

- It is natural to use the sum of squared residuals to obtain information about the variance.

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}x_i = (y_i - \bar{y}) - \hat{\beta}(x_i - \bar{x}) \\ &= -(\hat{\beta} - \beta)(x_i - \bar{x}) + (\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum e_i^2 &= (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2 \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ &\quad + \sum (\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon})^2 - 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

- This will involve σ^2 in expectation - term by term.
- First term:

$$E(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2 \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sigma^2$$

Estimation of Variance cont'd.

- Second term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \sum (\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon})^2 &= E \left[\sum \varepsilon_i^2 + n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i \right)^2 - 2 \sum \varepsilon_i \bar{\varepsilon} \right] \\
 &= n\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 - 2\sigma^2 = (n-1)\sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- Third term:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &E 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon}) \\
 &= 2E \left[\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(\varepsilon_i - \bar{\varepsilon}) \right] \\
 &= 2E \frac{[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})\varepsilon_i]^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = 2\sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Variance cont'd.

- Adding the terms we get:

$$E \sum e_i^2 = (n - 2)\sigma^2$$

- This suggest the estimator:

$$s^2 = \left(\sum e_i^2 \right) / (n - 2)$$

- This is an unbiased estimator
- It is a quadratic function of y
- This is all we can say without further assumptions

Summing Up

- With the assumption $Ey_i = \alpha + \beta x_i$, we can calculate unbiased estimates of α and β (linear in y_i).
- Adding the assumption $V(y_i|x_i) = \sigma^2$ and $E\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j = 0$, we can obtain sampling variance for $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$, get an optimality property and an unbiased estimate for σ^2 .
- Note the the optimality property may not be that compelling and that we have very little information about the variance estimate.