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We are interested in the properties of estimators as

$$
n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Consider a sequence of random variables

$$
\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}
$$

Often $X_{n}$ is an estimator such as a sample mean or $\widehat{\beta_{n}}$
Often it is convenient to center the sequence: $\left\{\widehat{\beta_{n}}-\beta\right\}$
and sometimes to scale $\left\{\left(\widehat{\beta_{n}}-\beta\right) / \sigma_{n}\right\}$

## Plim

Definition: (convergence in probability)
A sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is said to converge weakly to a constant $c$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-c\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0
$$

for every given $\varepsilon>0$.
This is written $p \lim X_{n}=c$ or $X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} c$
Some properties of plim:

1. plim $X Y=$ plim $X \operatorname{plim} Y$
2. $\operatorname{plim}(X+Y)=\operatorname{plim} X+\operatorname{plim} Y$
3. Slutsky's theorem: If the function $g$ is continuous at plim $X$, then $\operatorname{plim} g(X)=g(\operatorname{plim} X)$.

## A.S. convergence

Definition: (Strong convergence)
A sequence of random variables is said to converge strongly to a constant c if

$$
P\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=c\right)=1
$$

or

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sup _{n>N}\left|x_{n}-c\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0
$$

Strong convergence is also called almost sure convergence or convergence with probability one and is written $X_{n} \rightarrow c$ w.p. 1 or $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} c$.

## Difference betwen convergence a.s. and plim

plim involves probabilities on each element of the sequence, and limits of these probabilities.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-c\right|>\varepsilon\right)=0
$$

Strong convergence involves probabilities on the entire sequence.

$$
P\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{n}=c\right)=1
$$

Sequence of marginal probabilities vs. joint probability over infinite sequences.

Note a.s. convergence implies plim.
Difference usually doesn't matter in applications and plim is easier to establish.

## Laws of Large Numbers:

Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be observations and suppose we look at the sequence

$$
\bar{X}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} / n
$$

when does $\bar{X}_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \xi$ where $\xi$ is some parameter?
Weak Law of Large Numbers: $(W L L N)$ Let $E\left(X_{i}\right)=\mu, V\left(X_{i}\right)=\sigma^{2}$, $\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i} X_{j}\right)=0$.
Then $\bar{X}_{n}-\mu \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

## Proof of WLLN

Lemma: Chebyshev's Inequality:
$P(|X-\mu| \geq k) \leq \sigma^{2} / k^{2}$ where $E(X)=\mu$ and $V(X)=\sigma^{2}$.
Proof of Chebshev's inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{2}=\int(x-\mu)^{2} d F \\
=\int_{-\infty}^{\mu-k}(x-\mu)^{2} d F+\int_{\mu-k}^{\mu+k}(x-\mu)^{2} d F \\
+\int_{\mu+k}^{\infty}(x-\mu)^{2} d F
\end{gathered}
$$

## Proof of WLLN (cont'd)

Put in the largest value of $x$ in the first and smallest in the last integral, and drop the middle to get:

$$
\sigma^{2} \geq k^{2} P(|x-\mu| \geq k)
$$

Proof of WLLN: Since we are interested in $\overline{X_{n}}$, note that

$$
E\left(\overline{X_{n}}\right)=\mu \text { and } V\left(\overline{X_{n}}\right)=\sigma^{2} / n
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\bar{X}_{n}-\mu\right|>\varepsilon\right) \leqq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{2} / n \varepsilon^{2}=0
$$

## Notes:

1. $E\left(X_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}$ is okay. Consider

$$
\bar{X}_{n}-\bar{\mu}_{n} \text { with } \bar{\mu}_{n}=n^{-1} \sum \mu_{i}
$$

2. $\quad V\left(X_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{2}$ is okay. As long as $\lim \sum \sigma_{i}^{2} / n^{2}=0$, our proof applies.
3. Existence of $\sigma^{2}$ can be dropped if we assume independent and identically distributed observations.

In this case, the proof is different and is based on Markov's inequality

$$
P(|X| \geq k) \leq E|X| / k
$$

from which Chebyshev's inequality follows.

## Strong Law of Large Numbers:

If $X_{i}$ are independent with $E\left(X_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}, V\left(X_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\sum \sigma_{i}^{2} / i^{2}<\infty$. Then $\bar{X}_{n}-\bar{\mu}_{n} \rightarrow 0$ almost surely (a.s.).

We can drop the existence of $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ if we assume independent and identically distributed observations.

Example (Shiryayev): let the probability space be $[0,1$ ) with Lebesgue measure (length of intervals). To each element $\omega$ of $[0,1$ ), there is a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ where $x_{i}$ is the ith element in the dyadic expansion of $\omega$,i.e. $\omega=0 . x_{1} x_{2} \ldots, x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. Then
$P\left(X_{1}=x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}=x_{n}\right)=P\left(x_{1} / 2+x_{2} / 2^{2}+\ldots x_{n} / 2^{n} \leq \omega<\right.$ $\left.x_{1} / 2+x_{2} / 2^{2}+\ldots x_{n} / 2^{n}+1 / 2^{n}\right)=1 / 2^{n}$. Thus
$P(X=1)=P(X=0)=1 / 2$ and the obs are iid. By the SLLN, $\sum X_{i} / n \rightarrow 1 / 2$.
Interpretation? Borel result on normal numbers.

## Weak Convergence in Distribution

Definition: (Convergence in distribution):
A sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ with distribution functions $\left\{F_{n}(x)=P\left(X_{n} \leq x\right), n \geq 1\right\}$ is said to converge in distribution to a random variable $X$ with distribution function $F(x)$ if and only if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)=F(x)$ at all points of continuity of $F(x)$.

Notation: $\quad X_{n} \xrightarrow{D} X$. plim is a special case in which $F$ is a degenerate distribution.

## More on Convergence in Distribution

An equivalent characterization is:

$$
E f\left(X_{n}\right) \rightarrow E f(X)
$$

for all bounded continuous functions f.Another is

$$
P\left(X_{n} \in B\right) \rightarrow P(X \in B)
$$

for all sets $B$ with $P(\partial B)=0$.
We have $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { as }} \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{d}$

## Continuous Mapping Theorem

Convergence in distribution is used to approximate the distribution of estimators.

If an estimator is consistent (plim=true value), studying the limiting distribution nontrivially requires norming.

CMT: Let $g(x)$ be continuous on a set which has probability one. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X \Rightarrow g\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{d} g(X) \\
& X_{n} \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow g\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{p} g(X) \\
& X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { as }} X \Rightarrow g\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { as }} g(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

The CMT is extremely useful. Why?

Some properties of convergence in probability (plim) and convergence in distribution:

1. $X_{n}$ and $Y_{n}$ are random variable sequences. If $\operatorname{plim}\left(X_{n}-Y_{n}\right)=0$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{D} Y$, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{D} Y$ as well. This is an extremely useful device.
2. If $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{D} Y$ and $X_{n} \rightarrow c$ in probability (i.e., $\operatorname{plim} X_{n}=c$ ), then
a. $\quad X_{n}+Y_{n} \xrightarrow{D} c+Y$
b. $\quad X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{D} c Y$
c. $\quad Y_{n} / X_{n} \xrightarrow{D} Y / c, c \neq 0$.

## "Big O and little o"

This notation is used to denote relative orders of magnitude of sequences in the limit.

Sequences $\left\{x_{i}\right\},\left\{b_{i}\right\}$ (nonstochastic, for now)

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{n}=O\left(b_{n}\right) \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n} / b_{n}=-\infty<c<\infty \\
x_{n}=o\left(b_{n}\right) \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n} / b_{n}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{n}=o(1) \Rightarrow x_{n} \rightarrow 0 ; x_{n}=o(n) \Rightarrow x_{n} / n \rightarrow 0 \\
x_{n}=O(1) \Rightarrow x_{n} \rightarrow c ; x_{n}=O(n) \Rightarrow x_{n} / n \rightarrow c
\end{gathered}
$$

## Stochastic Versions

For stochastic sequences $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ we have

$$
X_{n}=O_{p}\left(b_{n}\right) \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon \exists C \text { such that } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n} / b_{n}\right|<C\right)>1-\epsilon
$$

This says that the ratio remains bounded in probability. Also

$$
X_{n}=o_{p}\left(b_{n}\right) \Rightarrow p \lim X_{n} / b_{n}=0
$$

Thus for example (using results above) if

$$
X_{n}-Y_{n}=o_{p}(1) \text { and } X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X
$$

Then $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X$

## Further Properties of $O_{p}$ and $o_{p}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
o_{p}(1)+o_{p}(1)=o_{p}(1) \\
o_{p}(1)+O_{p}(1)=O_{p}(1) \\
O_{p}(1) o_{p}(1)=o_{p}(1) \\
\left(1+o_{p}(1)\right)^{-1}=O_{p}(1) \\
o_{p}\left(b_{n}\right)=b_{n} o_{p}(1) \\
O_{p}\left(b_{n}\right)=b_{n} O_{p}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

