Economics 620, Lecture 20: Generalized Method of Moment (GMM)

Nicholas M. Kiefer

Cornell University

- Key: Set sample moments equal to theoretical moments and solve parameters.
- Generalized moments: Expectations of functions

Eg.

$$E(y - \mu) = 0$$

set $\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - \hat{\mu}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu} = \bar{y}$

Regression

$$E(y - X\beta) = 0$$

set $\frac{1}{n} \sum (y_i - X_i\beta) = 0$?

- Too many solutions
- Suppose we group into K groups
- Solve simultaneously
- Illustrates arbitrariness of choice of moment conditions
- A better moment condition:

$$E(X'(y-X\beta))=0$$

solve

$$X(y - X\hat{\beta}) = 0$$
 for $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$

a GMM estimator!

Often we have overidentifying restrictions

$$E(W'(y - X\beta)) = 0$$

$$W : n \times p, p > k$$

Then $W'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = 0$ is p linear equations in K unknowns.

Write $W'y = W'X\beta + \varepsilon$ and do GLS.

lf

$$V(y) = \sigma^2 I, \ V(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 W'W.$$

Then

$$\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = \hat{\beta}_{GMM} = (X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X)^{-1}X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'y$$

Which is the solution to

$$\min(y - X\hat{\beta})'W(W'W)^{-1}W'(y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

With V(y) = V:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'X)^{-1}W(W'VW)^{-1}W'y.$$

Solution to

$$\min(y - x\hat{\beta})'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'(y - X\hat{\beta})$$

Distribution Theory

• Assume $\frac{W'\varepsilon}{\sqrt{n}} \to N(0, W'VW)$ plausible?

Then

$$\hat{\beta} \approx \beta + (X'W(W'VW)^{-1}X'W)^{-1}X'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'\varepsilon$$

SO

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}-\beta)\tilde{\ }N(0,(X'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'X)^{-1})$$

The trick is choosing the moments (or instruments)

More cannot hurt.

Asymptotically we have:

$$E(W'(y-X\beta))=0$$

Instead use:

$$E(A'W'(y - X\beta)) = 0$$

$$A : m \times p, m < p$$

(Fewer conditions)

Then

$$V(\beta_A) = [X'WA(A'W'VWA)^{-1}A'W'X]^{-1}$$

$$V(\hat{\beta})^{-1} - V(\beta_A)^{-1} = X'W[(W'VW)^{-1} - A(A'W'VWA)^{-1}A']W'X$$

Letting

$$CC' = (W'VW)^{-1}$$

$$V(\hat{\beta})^{-1} - V(\beta_A)^{-1} = X'WC[I - C^{-1}A(A'C'^{-1}C^{-1}A)^{-1}A'C'^{-1}]C'W'X$$

p.s.d., so

$$V(\beta_A) \geq V(\hat{\beta})$$

Note that more may not help if conditions are chosen right.

$$(W' = X' \text{ for OLS})$$

• Look more closely at the case V(y) = I: If we let W = [XZ]

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X)^{-1}X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'y = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

(after a little work)

- First factor is $(X'X)^{-1}$
- Note $W(W'W)^{-1}W'X$ is the matrix of predicted values from the regression of X on W (namely, X itself)

Nonlinear Models

 $E(W'f(\theta)) = 0$, $W: n \times p$, $f(\theta): n \times 1$, $\theta: k \times 1$ Same principle as linear: Let $F = f_{\theta}: n \times k$ and E(ff') = VSolving by nonlinear GLS minimizes

$$f'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'f$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}-\theta)^{\sim}N(0,n[F'W(W'VW)^{-1}W'F]^{-1})$$

choice of instruments?

Try
$$W=V^{-1}F$$

Then $V(\hat{\theta})=[F'V^{-1}F(F'V^{-1}VV^{-1}F)^{-1}F'V^{-1}F]^{-1}=[F'V^{-1}F]^{-1}$

Smallest in this class (why?) (note there are only k of these)

Generalization

• We have only considered covariances so far.

Consider $G: N \times p$ where we let each column represent different functions of data. We have N observations on each function, so he moment conditions become

$$E(f_{ti}(y_t, \theta)) = 0, i = 1, ..., k t = 1, ..., N.$$

Where earlier we had specified

$$f_{it}(y,\theta) = w_{it}f_{it}$$

• Now GLS can be applied:

Resulting in min 1'GAG'1



That is, the moment conditions are 1'G = 0 and A is a p.d. weighting matrix.

For efficiency, A should be equal to (well, proportional to) $V(1'G)^{-1}$ (this is E(FF') in earlier notation).

To develop intuition for this, consider the linear case where G has elements

$$f_{ti} = w_{ti}(y_t - x_t\beta)$$

And the 1' just sums over observations, so V(1'G) is just (W'W).

Note 1'G is taking the place of $(y - X\beta)'W$.

Asymptotic Distribution of GMM

Write Q = 1'GAG'1, the function to be minimized to calculate the GMM estimator.

Taking the Taylor expansion of the first order condition $Q_{\theta}=0$

$$0 = Q_{\theta}(\theta) + Q_{\theta\theta}(\theta^* - \theta)$$

and just as in the ML case, we solve for the vector of estimation errors as

$$(\theta^* - \theta) = -(Q_{\theta\theta})^{-1}Q_{\theta}$$

and apply a LLN to the second derivative matrix and a CLT to the "scores."

The notation can get cumbersome here, but let $g_{ij}=$ the derivative of the ith column of 1'G with respect to θ_j , and let $g=\{g_{ij}\}$ be the associated matrix. Then

$$V(n^{1/2}(\theta^* - \theta)) = (g'Ag)^{-1}g'AEFF'Ag(g'Ag)^{-1}.$$

This comes from evaluating the derivatives, bringing in the scaling factors in n and generally simplifying. The result should look familiar.

Note that when A = EFF', the formula simplifies to

$$V(n^{1/2}(\theta^* - \theta)) = (g'Ag)^{-1}.$$

As in the usual GLS case!

Questions: How many moments to use? Note the FOC only use k... Nice analogy with 2SLS - with lots of IV, still with 2SLS, we reduce to the "just identified" case by using the optimal linear combination of instruments.

Discussion?

In fact k moments are sufficient for efficiency if there are k parameters. What are the k moments?

The real use for GMM is when the LF is too complicated or unknown (better, not plausibly known).

Conditional GMM

Basically generates more moment conditions. When we take $X'(y - X\beta) = 0$, we are imposing that the error is uncorrelated with X.

But the property may be stronger, e.g. that $E((y-X\beta)|X)=0$. This implies that $EX'(y-X\beta)=0$ but also that any other function of X is uncorrelated with $(y-X\beta)$. This comes up a lot in RE modeling and provides a source of lots of moment conditions.

Note tradeoff between introduction of noise and gains from using more moments.

Conclusion

- GMM requires fewer assumptions than ML
- Can be somewhat arbitrary
- Can be very inefficient relative to ML